ANALYSIS OF SPATIAL PATTERNS OF URBANISATION USING GEOINFORMATICS AND SPATIAL METRICS

T.V. RAMACHANDRA

Energy and Wetland Research Group, Centre for Ecological Science Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Karnataka, 560 012, India cestvr@ces.iisc.ernet.in

H. A. BHARATH

Centre for Sustainable Technology Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Karnataka, 560 012, India bharath@ces.iisc.ernet.in

M. V. SOWMYASHREE

Energy and Wetland Research Group, Centre for Ecological Science Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Karnataka, 560 012, India

Abstract

Urbanisation process heralds land use changes and consumption of energy which contribute significantly to global warming. This necessitates developing sustainable cities, which entails evolving effective urban planning strategies with the regular monitoring of landscape dynamics. In this context, the current communication reports of urban growth in Hyderabad - the IT capital of India based on the analysis of spatial and temporal heterogeneity of the urban land use changes that helped in the identification of urbanized and sprawling areas. The land use analysis through remote sensing data of the period 1975 to 2009 shows a significant urban growth with an increase in impervious surface from 0.17% to 13.55%. Gradient based spatial metrics analysis reveals the tendency of sprawl at outskirts and the clumped or aggregated growth in core areas. This spatially explicit information helps in the advanced visualization of urban growth for an appropriate and strategic future planning of the city.

Keywords: Urbanisation, Urban sprawl, spatial patterns, Hyderabad, spatial metrics, Land use, Gradients.

1. INTRODUCTION

Urbanisation is the social process referring to the physical growth of urban areas with the increase in population either due to migration or amalgamation of peri-urban areas into cities. The urban population in India has increased from 10.8% in 1901 to 17.3% in 1951, 28.5% in 2001 and 31.5% in 2011. More than 50% of the world population residing in urban areas (United Nations, 2009) consume more than

Issue 4 / November 2013

65% of the world's energy and emit 75% of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Large scale land cover changes have led to the loss of habitats, ecosystem's productivity and also the ability to sequester carbon. Urban areas have a very high ecological footprint (Herold et al., 2003; Liu & Lathrop, 2002) as urban expansions are associated with problems such as destruction of vegetation, changes in local and global climate and the environmental factors in and around the region (Grimm et al., 2000, Ramachandra et al., 2012a). Unplanned urbanisation have led to a much skewed growth in the region, evident from the dispersed growth in peri-urban areas with the higher consumption of land and without basic amenities and infrastructure.

Unplanned urbanization has brought huge environmental impacts and developed various problems in modern growing cities in India. The urban pattern growth analysis aids in understanding the underlying effects of urbanisation such as sprawl, loss of rural land (Huang et al., 2009) and sensitive habitats. The absence of prior visualization of sprawl regions leads to ineffective administration as these areas are not documented in the administrative policy documents and hence deprived of basic amenities. Sprawl refers to disordered and unplanned growth of urban areas often used to describe the awareness of an unsuitable development (Sudhira et al., 2003; Sudhira et al., 2004; Ramachandra et al., 2012a, 2012b). Agents responsible for sprawl are intense urbanisation in core areas, population increase, and population migration. Environmental problems associated with urban sprawl necessitates better techniques to understand the spatial patterns of temporal urbanisation for sustainable management of natural resources in rapidly urbanizing regions (Lambin et al, 2000). Remote sensing data acquired through space borne sensors at high temporal and spatial resolution available for four decades aids in assessing the spatial patterns of urbanisation (Hall et al, 1995; Lewis et al., 1997; Narumalani et al., 1998; Netzband et al., 2000; Steele and Redmond, 2001; Herold et al., 2002; Herold et al., 2003; Bhatta et al., 2009, Ramachandra et al., 2012a, 2012b).

Remote sensing techniques provide economical and reliable spatial data (with diverse spectral and temporal resolutions) required to derive useful information for city managers and planners (Jensen & Cowen, 1999; Yuan et al, 2005; Jat et al, 2008; Wu et al, 2006; Bhatta et al., 2009; Taubenböck et al., 2009a, Taubenböck et al., 2009b, Ramachandra et al., 2012a, 2012b) through the quantification of land use changes, especially quantifying the urban form and to monitor the dynamic changes at regular intervals, (Turner, 2003; Milesi et al, 2003; Sudhira et al., 2004; Anindita et al., 2010; Ramachandra et al., 2012a). Availability of the temporal remote sensing data of the earth's surface helps in mapping and monitoring of landscape. The gradient approach is adopted to identify the local pockets of urbanisation and the spatial patterns of urbanisation are assessed through spatial metrics. Spatial metrics aid in quantifying the urban structure and patterns of urban growth.

The historical spatial data available since 1970's aids to visualize the urban growth, quantify and understand its pattern (Netzband et al., 2005; Ramachandra et al., 2012a). Landscape geometric pattern analysis through spatial metrics based on land use classifications is gaining importance in recent years (Fu and Chen 2000; Wu, 2004; Li and Wu 2007; Bharath et al., 2012; Bharath s et al., 2012; Herzog and Lausch 2001; Seto and Fragkias 2005; Huang et al. 2006; Cushman, 2008; Malaviya et al., 2010; Ramachandra et al., 2012a, 2012b; Bharath s et al., 2012).

Landscape metrics have been applied extensively to describe the structures of urban land-use classes (Herold et al., 2002 and 2003) and for explaining the interrelationship of intra and inter land uses and the drivers of urbanisation (Guo, 2001, Matthews, 2006; Deng et al., 2009; Ji. Ma et al., 2006; Lacitignola et al, 2007; Nakagoshi, 2006; Schneider & Woodcock, 2008; Huang et al., 2007; Schwarz, 2010; Ramachandra et al., 2012a). The current study demonstrates the potential of multi-temporal Landsat data to provide an accurate, economical means supplemented with landscape metrics and Shannon's Entropy incorporating the zonal and gradient approach to understand the urban growth trends of Tier I city, Hyderabad, capital of Andhra Pradesh state, India, considering temporal remote sensing data of the period 1975 to 2009. The study region includes the city's administrative boundary with 10 km buffer to account for sprawl in peri-urban areas.

2. STUDY AREA

Hyderabad, located at bank of River Musi at 17.368° N and 78.476° E in the Deccan Plateau, is the sixth most populous metropolitan area in India and also ranked sixth largest urban agglomeration in the country. The city remains warm throughout the year with an average temperature of 26 °C to 40 °C during summer and 13°C to 17 °C during winter. Krishna and Godavari rivers serve the water requirements of the region. The current population of Hyderabad is 7 million (2011, http://www.censusofindia.gov.in) compared to 3.6 million in 2001 (census, 2001). The city of Hyderabad is governed by Greater Hyderabad Muncipal Corporation which covers an area of 652 sq. km. Hyderabad has a GDP of 74 billion and is one of the top ten contributors of India's GDP.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Landsat satellite image scenes corresponding to the study area (Hyderabad) of different time periods were downloaded from Global Land Cover Facility (GLCF) (http://www.glcf.umd.edu/index.shtml), United States Geological Survey (USGS) Earth Explorer (http://edcsns17.cr.usgs.gov/NewEarthExplorer/). Table 1 provides the details of the data that were used in the study. Survey of India (SOI) topographic maps of 1:50000 and 1:250000 scales were used

Volume 8 Issue 4 / November 2013

to generate base layers of city boundary, etc. City map were digitized from the city administration map. Ground control points to register and geo-correct remote sensing data were collected using hand held pre-calibrated GPS (Global Positioning System), Survey of India topographic maps and Google Earth (http://earth.google.com, http://bhuvan.nrsc.gov.in).



FIGURE 1 - STUDY AREA-HYDERABAD CITY AND ENVIRONS.

TABLE 1 - DATA USED IN THE ANALYS	IS
-----------------------------------	----

Data	Year	Purpose
Landsat Series Multispectral sensor(57.5m)	1975	Land cover and Land use analysis
Landsat Series Thematic mapper (28.5m)	1989, 1999, 2009	Land cover and Land use analysis
Survey of India (SOI) toposheets of 1:50000		To Generate boundary and Base layer
and 1:250000 scales		maps.
Field visit data –captured using GPS		For geo-correcting and generating
		validation dataset

Data analysis methods are outlined in Figure 2, which include preprocessing, assessment of vegetation cover, land use analysis and finally gradient wise computation of spatial metrics for each zone to assess the spatial patterns of urbanisation. Preprocessing: The remote sensing data obtained were georeferenced, geo-corrected, rectified and cropped pertaining to the study area. The Landsat satellite images were resampled to 30m in order to maintain uniformity in spatial resolution. The area of the data included the Hyderabad administrative area and 10 km buffer from the administrative boundary.

Issue 4 / November 2013



FIGURE 2 - THE PROCEDURE ADOPTED FOR CLASSIFYING THE LANDSCAPE AND COMPUTATION OF METRICS.

Land Cover analysis: Land Cover analysis was performed to understand the changes in the vegetation cover during the study period in the study region. Normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI) was found suitable and was used for measuring vegetation cover. NDVI values ranges from -1 to +1. Very low values of NDVI (-0.1 and below) correspond to soil or barren areas of rock, sand, or urban builtup. Zero indicates the water cover. Moderate values represent low density vegetation (0.1 to 0.3), while high values indicate thick canopy vegetation (0.6 to 0.8). *Land use analysis*: Land use categories were classified using supervised classifier based on Gaussian Maximum likelihood (GML) algorithm. Remote sensing data were classified using signatures from training sites that include all land use types (detailed in Table 2). False color composite of remote sensing data (bands – green, red and NIR), was generated to visualise the heterogeneous patches in the landscape. The signatures were digitized as polygons from heterogeneous patches (covering at least 15% of the study region) and the attribute information corresponding to these polygons were obtained from the field using pre-calibrated Global Positioning System (GPS) and latest Google Earth (http://www.googleearth.com). Among the training data, 60% is used for classification using GML and the balance is used for validation of classified information/accuracy assessment.

Issue 4 / November 2013

TABLE 2 - LAND USE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES ADOPTED					
LAND USE CLASS					
Urban	THIS CATEGORY INCLUDES RESIDENTIAL AREA, INDUSTRIAL AREA, AND ALL PAVED SURFACES				
	AND MIXED PIXELS HAVING BUILT UP AREA.				
WATER BODIES	TANKS, LAKES, RESERVOIRS.				
VEGETATION	FOREST, CROPLAND, NURSERIES.				
OTHERS	ROCKS, QUARRY PITS, OPEN GROUND AT BUILDING SITES, KACCHA ROADS.				

Gaussian Maximum Likelihood Classifier (GMLC) uses various classification decisions using probability and cost functions (Duda et al., 2000). Mean and covariance matrix are computed using the estimate of maximum likelihood estimator. Land use was computed using the temporal data through open source program GRASS - Geographic Resource Analysis Support System (http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/foss). Classes of the resulting image were reclassed and recoded to form four land-use classes.

Accuracy assessment methods evaluate the performance of classifiers (Ramachandra et al., 2012a). This is done through comparison of kappa coefficients (Congalton et al., 1983) and computation of overall accuracy assessment through a confusion matrix. Accuracy assessment and Kappa coefficient are common measurements used to demonstrate the effectiveness of the classification (Congalton, 1991; Lillesand & Kiefer, 2005; Congalton, 2009).

Zonal Analysis: The study region consisting of the city boundary and 10 km buffer is divided considering the Central pixel (Central Business district) into 4 zones based on directions as Northeast (NE), Southwest (SW), Northwest (NW), and Southeast (SE). This has been done as most of the definitions of a city or its growth are defined based on directions. The growth of the urban areas was assessed in each zone through the computation of temporal urban density.

Gradient Analysis - division of zones into concentric circles: Each zone was further divided into concentric circles of incrementing radii of 1 km from the center of the city for understanding the process of changes at local levels and also to visualize the agents responsible for changes. This helps the city administrators and planners to identify the causal factors of urbanization in response to the economic, social and political decisions and it also helps in visualizing the forms of urban sprawl.

Shannon's Entropy: Further, to understand the growth of the urban area in specific zones and to understand if the urban area is compact or divergent, the Shannon's entropy (Sudhira et al., 2004; Ramachandra et al., 2012a) given in equation 1 was computed for each zone. Shannon's entropy (Hn), explains the development process and its characteristics such as explaining if the growth over a period of time was concentrated or dispersed.

$$H_n = -\sum_{i=1}^n P_i \log\left(P_i\right)$$

	TABLE 3 - LANDSCAPE METRICS TO USED IN THE AMALTSIS TO UNDERSTAND THE STATUS OF OVERALL LANDSCAPE					
Spatial metrics	Formula	Description				
Number of patches (Built-up) (NP)	N = n _i Range: NP≥ 1	NP equals the number of patches of the corresponding patch type. $n_{\rm i}$ is the number of patches of a particular type.				
Normalised landscape shape Index (NLSI)	$NLSI = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{i} - \min \mathbf{e}_{i}}{\max \mathbf{e}_{i} - \min \mathbf{e}_{i}}$ Range: 0 to 1	Normalized Landscape shape index is the normalized version of the landscape shape index (LSI) and, as such, provides a simple measure of class aggregation or clumpedness.				
Landscape Shape Index (LSI)	$LSI = e_i / \min e_i$ e _i =total length of edge (or perimeter) of class i in terms of number of cell surfaces; includes all landscape boundary and background edge segments involving class i. min e _i =minimum total length of edge (or perimeter) of class i in terms of number of cell surfaces.	Provides a simple measure of class aggregation or clumpedness.				
Total Edge (TE)	$TE = \sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik},$ where: e_{ik} = total length (m) of edge in landscape involving patch type (class) i; includes landscape boundary and background segments involving patch type i.	This metric explains the patchiness in the landscape using number of edged present in the landscape				
Interspersion & Juxtaposition Index (Landscape level) (IJI)	$IJI = \frac{-\sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(\left[\frac{e \ ik}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik}} \right] \ln \left[\frac{e_{ik}}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik}} \right] \right)}{\frac{\ln 1k \ sca}{\operatorname{Range: } 0 < IJI \le 100}} $ (100) eik = total length (m) of edge in landscape between patch types I and k. m= number of patch type present in landscape.	This is also measure of aggregation and fragmentation				
Clumpiness Index (Clumpy)	$\begin{split} G_{i} &= \left[\frac{g_{ii}}{(\sum_{k=1}^{m} g_{ik}) - \min e_{i}} \right] \\ \text{CLUMPY} &= \begin{pmatrix} \left[\frac{G_{i} - P_{i}}{P_{i}} \right] \text{ for } G_{i} < P_{i} \ P_{i} < 5; \textit{else} \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ $	Clumpy = -1 when the focal patch type is maximally disaggregated, Clumpy = 0 when the focal patch is distributed randomly and approaches 1, when patch type is maximally aggregated.				
Aggregation Index	$AI = \begin{bmatrix} g_{ii} \\ max g_{ii} \end{bmatrix} (100)$ $g_{ii} = number of like adjacencies (joins) between pixels of patch type (class) i based on the single-count method. max-g_{ii} = maximum number of like adjacencies (joins) between pixels of patch type (class) i (see below) based on the single-count method.$	This index explains the focal class disaggregation or aggregation as a measure of class				
Cohesion	$Cohesion = \left[1 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (P_{ij})^{2} \sqrt{a_{ij}}}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}\right]^{-1} * 100$ $p_{ij} = \text{perimeter of patch ij in terms of number of cell surfaces.}$ $a_{ij} = \text{area of patch ij in terms of number of cells.}$ $A = \text{total number of cells in the landscape}$	measures the physical connectedness of the corresponding patch				
A = total number of cells in the landscapePLADJ = $\begin{pmatrix} g_{ij} \\ \overline{\sum_{1}^{m} g_{ik}} \end{pmatrix}$ (100)Percentage of Like Adjacencies (PLADJ)g_{ii} = number of like adjacencies (joins) between pixels of patch type (class) i based on the double-count method. g_{ik} = number of adjacencies (joins) between pixels of patch types (classes) and k based on the double count method.		PLADJ equals the number of like adjacencies involving the focal class, divided by the total number of cell adjacencies involving the focal class; multiplied by 100 (to convert to a percentage).				

Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management

Volume 8 Issue 4 / November 2013

Where, Pi is the proportion of the built-up in the ith concentric circle. If the distribution is maximally concentrated, the lowest value (zero) of Hn will be obtained. Conversely, if the population is dispersed or if there is even distribution among the concentric circles, Hn will be equivalent to log n (where n = number of gradients). *Computation of spatial metrics:* Spatial metrics have been used to quantify the spatial characteristics of a landscape. Select spatial metrics were used to anlayse and understand the urban dynamics (Ramachandra et al., 2012a). FRAGSTATS (McGarigal and Marks in 1995) software was used to compute metrics at three levels: patch, class and landscape. Table 3 lists the metrics with their description considered for the current study.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Remote sensing data corresponding to the study region downloaded from Landsat public repositories were preprocessed as described in the methods section. The preprocessed data were then used to derive the land cover of the region for different time periods using Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). Figure 3 illustrates the land cover computed for the period 1975 to 2009 through NDVI for Hyderabad region.

The negative value indicates the absence of vegetation and presence of land cover such as built-up, water, sand, etc. The increasing value from 0 indicates the presence of vegetation.

The results of the land cover analysis (Table 4) showed that the vegetation cover has declined during the past four decades with the increase in non-vegetative area (buildings, open space, water etc.). Land use analysis is done to understand the status of various land use classes in the region.

	Vegetation (%)	Non-vegetation (%)
1975	96.23	3.77
1989	95.64	4.36
1999	93.28	6.72
2009	82.67	17.4

TABLE 4 - LAND COVER CHANGES DURING 1975 TO 2009 IN HYDERABAD REGION.

The preprocessed images of Hyderabad were then classified to extract land use classes using GMLC for all time periods. Figure 4 depicts the temporal land uses in the region and Table 5 lists the land use statistics. Urban growth is evident with the increase in built-up area from 0.17 (1975) to about 13 % (2009). Accuracy assessment of the classified images was done through the computation of overall accuracy and kappa statistics (Table 6).

<u>Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management</u>



FIGURE 4 - CLASSIFIED IMAGES OF HYDERABAD.

Volume 8 Issue 4 / November 2013

TABLE 5 - LAND USE STATISTICS OF THE CLASSIFIED IMAGES.					
	Built-up	Vegetation	Water body	Others	
Years	Area (%)	Area (%)	Area (%)	Area (%	

	7	7 ou (70)	7	7
1975	0.17	2.98	1.41	95.44
1989	1.75	4.06	2.71	91.49
1999	2.55	3.88	1.63	91.94
2009	13.55	3.87	1.66	80.92
				•

	TABLE 0 - OVERALL ACCURACY AND KAPPA STATISTICS OF CLASSIFIED IMAGES						
	1975	1989		1999			2009
A	kappa	OA	kappa	OA	kappa	OA	kappa

0.7309

Figure 5 shows the urban growth during 1975 to 2010. Major increase is noticed during post 2000 with the government policy of supporting IT sector in the state.

87

0.8558

90





Urban analysis: Shannon's entropy is computed using the derived gradients to assess the level of urbanization. Table 7 and Figure 6 lists the direction-wise Shannon's entropy values. The values close to 0 indicates of a compact growth, which can be seen in 1975, but the values have increased temporally to 0.3, indicating the tendency of sprawl/dispersed or fragmented outgrowth.

	NE	NW	SE	SW	LOG N
1975	0.012	0.03	0.05	0.04	
1989	0.0292	0.04621	0.08188	0.05572	<u></u>
1999	0.03437	0.05282	0.10674	0.09663	585
2009	0.24913	0.32605	0.35492	0.32119	

ABLE 7 - SHANNON'S ENTROPY CALCULATED FOR HYDERABAD

Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management

0.9079

0

78

0.6532

94



FIGURE 6 - TEMPORAL SHANNON'S ENTROPY FOR THE STUDY REGION

Spatial Analysis through Landscape Metrics

Figure 7 illustrates the spatial patterns of urbanisation (Note: X-axis represents gradients considered and Y-axis represents the respective spatial metrics).

Number of Patches (NP): NP equals the number of built up patches in a landscape. It indicates the level of fragmentation in built up landscape. Figure 7a represents the direction and gradient wise number of urban patches during different decades. A significant increase in number of patches from 1975 to 2009 in all directions, in all gradients indicates that the landscape is getting more fragmented after the year 2000. The gradients close to the city center show a decreasing number of patches, explaining the process of clumping or aggregation of urban patches. NW and NE directions show a significant increase and there is a significant increase of urban patches in all gradients. The increased number of patches in the buffer region indicates of sprawl due to intense urbanisation at the core area of the city.



Issue 4 / November 2013

Landscape Shape Index (LSI) and Normalized Landscape Shape Index (NLSI): Landscape Shape Index provides a simple measure of class aggregation or disaggregation. Aggregation is measured via class edge. Normalized Landscape Shape Index is similar to Landscape Shape Index, but this represents the normalized value. Figure 7b and Figure 7c indicate lower values after the year 2000 at the city centre indicating simpler shapes and compact urban patches. However, fringes show increased values indicating complex shape with the fragmented growth as highlighted by NP in 2009 and development of complex patches.







FIGURE 7c - NORMALIZED LANDSCAPE SHAPE INDEX

Clumpiness (CLUMPY) and Aggregation Index (AI): Clumpiness Index is the measure of patch aggregation. It measures the clumpiness of the overall urban patches. Clumpiness ranges from -1 to 1 where Clumpy = -1 when the urban patch type is maximally disaggregated, Clumpy = 0 when the patch is distributed randomly and approaches 1 when urban patch type is maximally aggregated. Aggregation Index gives the similar indication as Clumpiness i.e., it measures the aggregation of the urban patches, Aggregation Index ranges from 0 to 100.



FIGURE 7d - CLUMPINESS INDEX



FIGURE 7e. AGGREGATION INDEX

Issue 4 / November 2013

The results of Clumpiness Index (Figure 7d) represent the status of urban landscape. In 1995 the region had simple clumped growth at the center and minimal growth at the outskirts, with the values close to 0 at the center and few random patches at the outskirts or buffer regions. The situation has changed in 2009 with a highly fragmented growth or non-clumped growth in outskirts and completely clumped growth at the center. The Aggregation Index (Figure 7e) showed a similar trend as the Clumpiness Index. This highlights that the center part of the city is in the process of forming a homogeneous patch whereas the outskirts are growing as fragmented urban patches.

Patch Cohesion Index measures the physical connectedness of the corresponding patch type. Figure 7f describes the results of the analysis of physical connectedness of the urban patch with the higher cohesion value (in 2009) indicating that the urban count is higher in the study region. Lower values in 1975 illustrate that the urban patches were rare in the landscape and were well connected which also points to the fact about clumped growth in the centre, whereas higher values in 2009 is indicative of the dominance of urban patches in the buffer regions.



<u> Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management</u>

Percentage of Like Adjacencies (PLADJ) indicates the percentage of cell adjacencies involving the corresponding patch type. Figure 7g indicates that the urban patches in the landscape is most adjacent to each other in 2009 compared to 1975 and 1999, indicating urban land uses forming a single patch in 2009.



FIGURE 7h - INTERSPERSION AND JUXTAPOSITION INDEX

Interspersion and Juxtaposition Index (IJI) measures the extents to which patch types are interspersed. Higher values result when the urban patch types are well interspersed (i.e., equally adjacent to each other), whereas lower values characterize landscapes in which the patch types are poorly interspersed

Issue 4 / November 2013

i.e., disproportionate distribution of patch type adjacencies (Mc Garigal and marks, 1994). IJI values range from 0 to 100. IJI values are in the verge of reaching 100 indicating that the patches next to urban areas are becoming rare with time and the urban dominance can be seen in 2009 (Figure 8h). Compared to this, in 1975 and 1989 the dominance of urban patches were less in all gradients, though a few central gradients showed slightly higher values (Fig. 7h).

Total Edge (TE) is the absolute measure of total length (perimeter) of each class of a particular patch in landscape in meters. TE considers true edges and the value is greater than or equal to zero. Larger edge values indicate larger continuous patches. Figure 7i indicates that during 1989 and 1999 the edges were smaller and hence there were discontinuous patches as the landscape was fragmented. In 2009, larger edges indicated that the urban edges are ubiquitous and continuous.



<u>**Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management**</u>

5. CONCLUSIONS

Urbanisation process involves land use changes affecting the local ecology. This necessitates effective urban planning strategies with the regular monitoring of landscape dynamics. The current study demonstrates the potential of multi-temporal Landsat data to provide an accurate, economical means supplemented with landscape metrics and Shannon's Entropy incorporating the zonal and gradient approach to understand the urban growth trends. Land cover analysis showed that the vegetation cover has declined during the past four decades, with the increase in the area under non-vegetation (Buildings, open space, water etc.). Urban growth is evident with the increase in built-up area from 0.17% (1975) to about 13 % (2009). The gradients close to the city center show a decreasing number of patches, explaining the process of clumping or aggregation of urban patches. NW and NE directions show a significant increase and there is a significant increase of urban patches in all gradients. The increased number of patches in the buffer region indicates sprawl due to intense urbanisation at the core area of the city. All other metrics (area, shape, edge and compactness) indicate a similar trend.

This has largely resulted in unprecedented changes in its landscape structure, feature and composition, as seen and explained by metrics temporally. The current study demonstrates the potential of multi-temporal remote sensing data to provide an accurate and economical means supplemented with landscape metrics and Shannon's Entropy incorporating the zonal and gradient approach to understand the urban growth trends.

The analysis has provided the evidences of spatial patterns at local levels, which would help the city development authority and city planners to understand the current growth while visualizing the future growth.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to NRDMS Division, The Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of India; ISRO-IISc Space Technology Cell, Indian Institute of Science; Centre for infrastructure, Sustainable Transportation and Urban Planning (CiSTUP), Indian Institute of Science for the financial and infrastructure support. Remote sensing data were downloaded from public domain (http://glcf.umiacs.umd.edu/data). We thank Mr. Susanto Sen for language editing of the manuscript and for useful suggestions.

Issue 4 / November 2013

REFERENCES

- Anindita, Dasgupta., Kumar, U., and Ramachandra, T.V. (2010). Urban Landscape analysis through spatial metrics, In the proceedings of the Conference on Infrastructure, Sustainable Transportation and Urban Planning, CISTUP@CISTUP2010, 18 - 20 October, JN Tata Auditorium, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore. 2010.
- Bharath, S., Bharath, H. A., Durgappa, D.S., and Ramachandra T. V. (2012). Landscape Dynamics through Spatial Metrics, Proceedings of *India GeoSpatial Conference*, Epicentre, Gurgaon, India, 7-9 February, 2012.
- Bhatta, B. (2009). Analysis of urban growth pattern using remote sensing and GIS: a case study of Kolkata, India. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 30 (18), 4733-4746. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01431160802651967.
- Congalton, R.G. (1991). A review of assessing the accuracy of classifications of remotely sensed data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 37(1), 35-46. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257 (91)90048-B.
- Congalton, R.G., and Green, K. (2009). Assessing the Accuracy of Remotely Sensed Data: Principles and Practices. *CRC Press*, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL
- Congalton, R. G., Oderwald, R. G., and Mead, R. A. (1983). Assessing Landsat classification accuracy using discrete multivariate analysis statistical techniques. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 49, 1671-1678.
- Cushman Samuel, A., McGarigal, K. and Neel, Maile, C. (2008). Parsimony in landscape metrics: strength, universality, and consistency. *Ecological Indicators*, 8, 691-703.
- Deng, J. S., Wang, K., Hong, Y., and Qi, J. G. (2009). Spatio-temporal dynamics and evolution of land use change and landscape pattern in response to rapid urbanization. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 92, 187–198.
- Duda, R.O., Hart, P.E., and Stork, D.G. (2000). Pattern Classification, a Wiley-Interscience Publication, Second Edition, ISBN 9814-12-602-0.
- Fu, B.J., Chen, L.D. (2000). Agricultural landscape spatial pattern analysis in the semi-arid hill area of the Loess Plateau, *China. J Arid Environ*, 44, 291–30
- Grimm, N. B., Faeth, S. H., Golubiewski, N. E., Redman, C. L., Wu, J., Bai, X., et al. (2008). Global change and the ecology of cities. *Science*, 38, 759–760.
- Guo, H., Liu, L., Huang, G., Fuller, G., Zou, R. and Yin, Y. (2001). A system dynamics approach for regional environmental planning and management: A study for the Lake Erhai Basin. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 61, 93-111.
- Hall, F., Townshend, J., and Engman, T. (1995). Status of remote sensing algorithms for estimation of land surface state parameters, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 51,138-156
- Herold, M., Goldstein, N. C., and Clarke, K. C. (2003). The spatiotemporal form of urban growth: Measurement, analysis and modeling. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 86, 286–302
- Herold, M., Scepan, J., and Clarke, K.C. (2002). The use of remote sensing and landscape metrics to describe structures and changes in urban land uses. *Environment and Planning A*, 34 (8), 1443– 1458

Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management

Issue 4 / November 2013

Volume 8

Ramachandra, T.V., Bharath, H. A. and Sowmyashree, M. V. ANALYSIS OF SPATIAL PATTERNS OF URBANISATION USING GEOINFORMATICS AND SPATIAL METRICS

Herzog, F., and Lausch, A. (2001). Supplementing land-use statistics with landscape metrics: some methodological considerations. *Environ Monitoring Assessment*, 72, 37–50

http://bhuvan.nrsc.gov.in

http://censusofindia.gov.in

http://earth.google.com,

http://glcf.umiacs.umd.edu/data

- Huang, B., Zhang, L., and Wu, B. (2009). Spatiotemporal analysis of rural-urban land conversion. International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 23 (3), 379-398.
- Huang, J., Lu, X. X., and Sellers, J. M. (2007). A global comparative analysis of urban form: Applying spatial metrics and remote sensing. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 82, 184–197.
- Jat Mahesh Kumar., Garg, P. K., and Khare, Deepak. (2008). Monitoring and modeling of urban sprawl using remote sensing and GIS techniques. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 10, 26-43.
- Jensen, J. R., and Cowen, D. C. (1999). Remote sensing of urban/suburban infrastructure and socioeconomic attributes. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 65(5), 611–622
- Ji, W., Ma, J., Twibell, R.W., and Underhill, K. (2006). Characterizing urban sprawl using multi-stage remote sensing images and landscape metrics. *Comput Environ Urban*, 30, 867-879.
- Fanhua, Kong., and Nobukazu Nakagoshi. (2006). Spatial-temporal gradient analysis of urban green spaces in Jinan, China. *Landscape and urban Planning*, 78, 147-164.
- Lacitignola, D., Petrosillo, I., Cataldi, M. and Zurlini, G. (2007). Modelling socio-ecological tourism-based systems for sustainability. *Ecological Modelling*, 206, 191-204.
- Lambin E. F., Rounsevell, M.D. A. and Geist, H. J. (2000). Are agricultural land-use models able to predict changes in land-use intensity? Agriculture, *Ecosystems and Environment*, 82, 321-331.
- Lewis, H.G., Cote, S., and Tatnall, A.R.L. (1997). Determination of spatial and temporal characteristics as an aid to neural network cloud classification. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 18 (4), 899–915
- Li, H., and Wu, J.G. (2007), Landscape pattern analysis: key issues and challenges. In: Wu J, Hobbs R (eds) Key topics in landscape ecology. *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, 39–61.
- Lillesand, T., Kiefer, R., and Chipman J., (2003). Remote sensing and image interpretation. *Wiley*, New York, Fifth edition, p.784.
- Liu, X. and Lathrop, R. G. Jr., (2002). Urban change detection based on an artificial neural network. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 23, 2513–2518.
- Malaviya, S., Munsi, M., Oinam, G., and Joshi, P.K. (2010). Landscape approach for quantifying land use land cover change (1972–2006) and habitat diversity in a mining area in Central India (Bokaro, Jharkhand). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 170 (1–4), 215–229
- Matthews, R. (2006). The people and landscape model (PALM): towards full integration of human decision-making and biophysical simulation models. Ecological Modelling, 194, 329-343.
- Narumalani, S., Zhou, Y., and Jelinkski, D.E. (1998). Utilizing geometric attributes of spatial information to improve digital image classification. *Remote Sensing Review*, 16 (4), 233–253.

Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management

Ramachandra, T.V., Bharath, H. A. and Sowmyashree, M. V. ANALYSIS OF SPATIAL PATTERNS OF URBANISATION USING GEOINFORMATICS AND SPATIAL METRICS

- Netzband, M., Redman, C. L., and Stefanov, W. L. (2005). Challenges for applied remote sensing science in urban environment. *The international archives of the photogrammetry, remote sensing, and spatial information sciences*, 36 (8/W27)
- Ramachandra, T.V., Bharath, H. A. and Durgappa, D.S. (2012a). Insights to urban dynamics through landscape spatial pattern analysis, *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 18, 329-343,
- Ramachandra, T.V., Bharath, H. A. and Sreekantha, S. (2012b). Spatial Metrics based Landscape Structure and Dynamics Assessment for an emerging Indian Megalopolis, *International Journal of Advanced Research in Artificial Intelligence*, 1(1), 48 -57.
- Schneider, A., and Woodcock, C. E. (2008). Compact, dispersed, fragmented, extensive? A comparison of urban growth in twenty-five global cities using remotely sensed data, pattern metrics and census information. *Urban Studies*, 45, 659–692
- Schwarz, N. (2010). Urban form revisited–Selecting indicators for characterizing European cities. Landscape and Urban Planning, 96, 29–47
- Seto, K.C., and Fragkias, M. (2005). Quantifying spatiotemporal patterns of urban land-use change in four cities of China with time series landscape metrics. *Landscape Ecology*, 20, 871–888.
- Steele, B.M., and Redmond, R.L. (2001). A method of exploiting spatial information for improving classification rules: application to the construction of polygon-based land covers maps. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 22 (16), 3143–3166
- Sudhira, H. S., Ramachandra, T. V. and Jagadish, K. S. (2004). Urban sprawl: metrics, dynamics and modelling using GIS. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation Geoinform*, **5**, 29–39.
- Sudhira, H. S., Ramachandra, T. V. and Jagadish, K. S. (2003). Urban sprawl pattern recognition and modeling using GIS, Paper presented at *Map India*, January 28- 31, 2003, New Delhi.
- Taubenbock, H., Wegmann, M., Roth, A., Mehl, H., and Dech, S., (2009a), Urbanization in India: Spatiotemporal analysis using remote sensing data. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 33(3), 179–188.
- Taubenbock, H., Wurm, M., Setiadi, N., Gebert, N., Roth, A., Strunz, G., et al., (2009b). Integrating remote sensing and social science – The correlation of urban morphology with socioeconomic parameters. In *IEEE, editor, 2009 urban remote sensing joint event*, London.
- Turner, M. D. (2003). Environmental science and social causation in the analysis of Sahelian pastorarism.
- United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population Division 2009. *World population prospects*: The 2008 revision (pp. 1–107). Working paper number ESA/P/WP.210.
- Wu, J.G. (2004), Effects of changing scale on landscape pattern analysis: scaling relations. Landscape Ecology, 19,125–138
- Yuan Fei, Sawaya, Kali, E., Loeffelholz, and Bauer, Marvin, E. (2005). Land cover classification and change analysis of the Twin Cities (Minnesota) Metropolitan Area by multi temporal Landsat remote sensing. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 98, 317-32.