CHANGING TREND IN HOUSEHOLD CONSUMPTION EXPENDITURE PATTERN OF KERALA

by

P. Padma^{1*}, E.V Ramasamy², Muralivallabhan T V³and A.P Thomas ¹



- 1. Advanced Centre of Environmental Studies and Sustainable Development-An inter university centre (ACESSD), Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam, Kerala, India
- 2. School of Environmental Sciences, Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam, Kerala, India
- 3. SVR NSS College, Vazhoor, Kottayam, Kerala, India

- * Kerala with only 1.0 % of land area and 2.8 % population of the Nation has been ranked as top most consumer state in the country
- * As a part of analyzing the reasons or parameters which have promoted Kerala as the top consumer state in the country the household consumption pattern of the state has been attempted in this study.
- *An enquiry in to the household consumer expenditure generates a very important indicator of living standards called MPCE (Monthly per capita consumer expenditure).

- > The pattern of consumption symbolizes the status of welfare and economic development of a society
- > Hence the study on consumption pattern or expenditure pattern becomes highly significant.
- For the complete understanding of the standard of living in any part of the country more micro level estimates beyond state level is necessary.
- The present study analyses Monthly Per Capita Consumer Expenditure [MPCE] of urban and rural sectors of Kerala in order to understand the consumption trend of the state.

Objectives of the study

1. To study the pattern of average MPCE in rural and urban sectors of all 14 districts of Kerala.

2. To trace out the extent of gap between rural and urban average MPCE at district level in Kerala.

Methodology

Study area : India and Kerala

Data source: Secondary data from NSS

(National Sample Survey Organization) Kerala

Study **period** :2001-2010.

57th round (July 2001 to June 2002)

59th round (January to Dec 2003)

62th round (July2005 -June 2006)

64th round (July2007 -June 2008)

66th round (July 2009 – June 2010)

(All the consumption figures are monthly figures.)

Statistical tool: Percentage of analysis





Status of Consumption Patitern

Status of Consumption Pattern

To study the expenditure pattern in 14 districts of Kerala over the time span from 2001-10 average total expenditure per person for 30 days was worked out &

the same was ranked in district wise (Table 1).

Average total expenditure (₹) per person per 30 days and district wise rank for the period of 2001-10

Districts			Urban					
	2001-02	2005-	2007-	2009-	2001-	2005-	2007-	2009-
		2006	2008	2010	02	2006	2008	2010
TVM	1272	1209	1658	2003	2622	1648	2381	4363
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(1)
Kollam	1175	1216	1552	1578	1364	1398	1763	2120
	(8)	(2)	(5)	(6)	(12)	(7)	(6)	(6)
P.thitta	1392	1084	1554	1611	1472	1508	2025	2181
	(3)	(7)	(3)	(5)	(7)	(4)	(4)	(5)
Alappuzha	1499	1125	1553	1672	1740	1504	1721	2205
	(1)	(6)	(4)	(3)	(3)	(5)	(7)	(3)
Kottayam	1177	1194	1542	1617	1406	1530	2175	2185
	(7)	(4)	(6)	(4)	(11)	(3)	(3)	(4)
ldukki	849	979	1068	1489	1039	1095	1381	1809
	(14)	(10)	(12)	(8)	(14)	(10)	(12)	(8)
Ernakulam	1201	1216	1982	1823	1904	1964	2499	2600
	(6)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)
Thrisur	1245	1144	1292	1561	1441	1484	1814	1994
	(5)	(5)	(8)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(5)	(7)
Palakkad	1396	1003	1169	1433	1739	1188	1522	1792
	(2)	(9)	(11)	(9)	(4)	(8)	(9)	(9)
Malappuram	902	960	1342	1139	1096	1047	1686	1170
	(12)	(11)	(7)	(14)	(13)	(12)	(8)	(14)
Kozhikkode	1015	1068	1220	1327	1516	1096	1461	1464
	(11)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(6)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Wayanad	1099	739	1025	1211	1590	917	1379	1444
	(10)	(14)	(13)	(12)	(5)	(13)	(13)	(12)
Kannur	1164	906	1261	1358	1428	1080	1457	1632
	(9)	(12)	(9)	(10)	(10)	(11)	(11)	(10)
Kasaragod	866	755	798	1201	1438	876	1236	1301
	(13)	(13)	(14)	(13)	(9)	(14)	(14)	(13)
State Average	1105	1068	1382	1550	1628	1568	1941	2199
No of districts	9	7	6	7	4	2	4	3
above the								
state average								

The average consumer expenditure per person for a period of 30 days was 1105 & 1628 for rural & urban sectors of Kerala respectively in **2001-02.** It has gone up to 1550 & 2199 for rural & urban sectors respectively in 2009-10.

Average total expenditure (₹) per person per 30 days and district wise rank for the period of 2001-10

Districts	Rural				Urban			
	2001-	2005-	2007-	2009-	2001-	2005-	2007-	2009-
	02	2006	2008	2010	02	2006	2008	2010
TVM	1272	1209	1658	2003	2622	1648	2381	4363
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(1)
Kollam	1175	1216	1552	1578	1364	1398	1763	2120
	(8)	(2)	(5)	(6)	(12)	(7)	(6)	(6)
P.thitta	1392	1084	1554	1611	1472	1508	2025	2181
	(3)	(7)	(3)	(5)	(7)	(4)	(4)	(5)
Alappuzha	1499	1125	1553	1672	1740	1504	1721	2205
	(1)	(6)	(4)	(3)	(3)	(5)	(7)	(3)
Kottayam	1177	1194	1542	1617	1406	1530	2175	2185
	(7)	(4)	(6)	(4)	(11)	(3)	(3)	(4)
ldukki	849	979	1068	1489	1039	1095	1381	1809
	(14)	(10)	(12)	(8)	(14)	(10)	(12)	(8)
Ernakulam	1201	1216	1982	1823	1904	1964	2499	2600
	(6)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)
Thrisur	1245	1144	1292	1561	1441	1484	1814	1994
	(5)	(5)	(8)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(5)	(7)
Palakkad	1396	1003	1169	1433	1739	1188	1522	1792
	(2)	(9)	(11)	(9)	(4)	(8)	(9)	(9)
Malappuram	902	960	1342	1139	1096	1047	1686	1170
	(12)	(11)	(7)	(14)	(13)	(12)	(8)	(14)
Kozhikkode	1015	1068	1220	1327	1516	1096	1461	1464
	(11)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(6)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Wayanad	1099	739	1025	1211	1590	917	1379	1444
	(10)	(14)	(13)	(12)	(5)	(13)	(13)	(12)
Kannur	1164	906	1261	1358	1428	1080	1457	1632
	(9)	(12)	(9)	(10)	(10)	(11)	(11)	(10)
Kasaragod	866	755	798	1201	1438	876	1236	1301
	(13)	(13)	(14)	(13)	(9)	(14)	(14)	(13)
State	1105	1068	1382	1550	1628	1568	1941	2199
Average								
No of	9	7	6	7	4	2	4	3
districts								
above the								
state								
average								

- The districts have been grouped in to two categories
- i. Districts which spends more than the state average
- ii. Districts spending lesser than the state average
 - 2001-02 there were nine districts where rural sector was spending more than the state average and this number has come down to seven districts in 2009-10.

Average total expenditure (₹) per person per 30 days and district wise rank for the period of 2001-10

Districts	Rural				Urban				
Districts	2001-	2005-	2007-	2009-	2001-	2001- 2005- 2007- 2009-			
	02	2005	2007	2010	02	2005-	2007-	2010	
TVM	1272	1209	1658	2003	2622	1648	2381	4363	
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(1)	
Kollam	1175	1216	1552	1578	1364	1398	1763	2120	
	(8)	(2)	(5)	(6)	(12)	(7)	(6)	(6)	
P.thitta	1392	1084	1554	1611	1472	1508	2025	2181	
	(3)	(7)	(3)	(5)	(7)	(4)	(4)	(5)	
Alappuzha	1499	1125	1553	1672	1740	1504	1721	2205	
	(1)	(6)	(4)	(3)	(3)	(5)	(7)	(3)	
Kottayam	1177	1194	1542	1617	1406	1530	2175	2185	
	(7)	(4)	(6)	(4)	(11)	(3)	(3)	(4)	
ldukki	849	979	1068	1489	1039	1095	1381	1809	
	(14)	(10)	(12)	(8)	(14)	(10)	(12)	(8)	
Ernakulam	1201	1216	1982	1823	1904	1964	2499	2600	
	(6)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)	
Thrisur	1245	1144	1292	1561	1441	1484	1814	1994	
	(5)	(5)	(8)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(5)	(7)	
Palakkad	1396	1003	1169	1433	1739	1188	1522	1792	
	(2)	(9)	(11)	(9)	(4)	(8)	(9)	(9)	
Malappura	902	960	1342	1139	1096	1047	1686	1170	
m	(12)	(11)	(7)	(14)	(13)	(12)	(8)	(14)	
Kozhikkod	1015	1068	1220	1327	1516	1096	1461	1464	
e	(11)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(6)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
Wayanad	1099	739	1025	1211	1590	917	1379	1444	
July	(10)	(14)	(13)	(12)	(5)	(13)	(13)	(12)	
Kannur	1164	906	1261	1358	1428	1080	1457	1632	
- 201111011	(9)	(12)	(9)	(10)	(10)	(11)	(11)	(10)	
Kasaragod	866	755	798	1201	1438	876	1236	1301	
. tusui ugou	(13)	(13)	(14)	(13)	(9)	(14)	(14)	(13)	
State	1105	1068	1382	1550	1628	1568	1941	2199	
Average	. 103	.000	1502	1333	1020	1300	1341	2133	
No of	9	7	6	7	4	2	4	3	
districts		′		,	-		_	3	
state									
average									

Similarly in urban sector also the number of districts spending more has declined from four to three during the study period (2001-10).

While comparing rural and urban sectors more number of districts (7) remains as the ones spending more than the state average in their rural sectors in 2009-10 while only three districts show more expenditure than the state average in urban sector.

* This is a significant observation leading to the conclusion that it is the rural sector which spend more - rather consume more - than the urban sector in the state of Kerala.

❖ The same point is further clarified while analyzing the data presented in Table 2, where the changes in rural and urban expenditure (in percentage) of each district in a span of ten years (2001-10) are presented.

Table 2: Percentage changes in average MPCE in 14 districts of Kerala during 2001-10

Rural

2001-02	2009-2010	% change	2001-02	2009-2010	change
1272.41	2003.87	57	2622.4	4363.87	66
1175.29	1578.7	34	1364.03	1809.15	33
1392.89	1611.02	16	1472.57	2185.93	48
1499.82	1672.29	11	1740.59	1792.24	3
1177.51	1617.85	37	1406.28	2205.95	57
849.73	1489.38	75	1039.73	2120.03	104
1201.04	1823.21	52	1904.35	2600.48	37
1245.57	1561.26	25	1441.7	1994.47	38
1396.1	1433.47	3	1739.5	2181.78	25
902.66	1139.42	26	1096.03	1170.78	7
1015.98	1327.11	31	1516.71	1464.84	-3
1099.05	1211.72	10	1590.54	1301.42	-18
1164.57	1358.94	17	1428.68	1632.42	14
866.39	1201.49	39	1438.31	1444.26	0.4
1105.14	1550.96	40	1628.16	2199.6	35
	1272.41 1175.29 1392.89 1499.82 1177.51 849.73 1201.04 1245.57 1396.1 902.66 1015.98 1099.05 1164.57 866.39	1272.41 2003.87 1175.29 1578.7 1392.89 1611.02 1499.82 1672.29 1177.51 1617.85 849.73 1489.38 1201.04 1823.21 1245.57 1561.26 1396.1 1433.47 902.66 1139.42 1015.98 1327.11 1099.05 1211.72 1164.57 1358.94 866.39 1201.49	1272.41 2003.87 57 1175.29 1578.7 34 1392.89 1611.02 16 1499.82 1672.29 11 1177.51 1617.85 37 849.73 1489.38 75 1201.04 1823.21 52 1245.57 1561.26 25 1396.1 1433.47 3 902.66 1139.42 26 1015.98 1327.11 31 1099.05 1211.72 10 1164.57 1358.94 17 866.39 1201.49 39	1272.41 2003.87 57 2622.4 1175.29 1578.7 34 1364.03 1392.89 1611.02 16 1472.57 1499.82 1672.29 11 1740.59 1177.51 1617.85 37 1406.28 849.73 1489.38 75 1039.73 1201.04 1823.21 52 1904.35 1245.57 1561.26 25 1441.7 1396.1 1433.47 3 1739.5 902.66 1139.42 26 1096.03 1015.98 1327.11 31 1516.71 1099.05 1211.72 10 1590.54 1164.57 1358.94 17 1428.68 866.39 1201.49 39 1438.31	1272.41 2003.87 57 2622.4 4363.87 1175.29 1578.7 34 1364.03 1809.15 1392.89 1611.02 16 1472.57 2185.93 1499.82 1672.29 11 1740.59 1792.24 1177.51 1617.85 37 1406.28 2205.95 849.73 1489.38 75 1039.73 2120.03 1201.04 1823.21 52 1904.35 2600.48 1245.57 1561.26 25 1441.7 1994.47 1396.1 1433.47 3 1739.5 2181.78 902.66 1139.42 26 1096.03 1170.78 1015.98 1327.11 31 1516.71 1464.84 1099.05 1211.72 10 1590.54 1301.42 1164.57 1358.94 17 1428.68 1632.42 866.39 1201.49 39 1438.31 1444.26

Note: '-' indicates reduction in consumption

Rural sectors in all 14 districts have shown an increased expenditure in ten years while

Urban

%

increased expenditure.

More than 50% increase in expenditure could be observed in the rural sectors of Idukki (75%), TVM (57%) and ERN districts (52%) while Idukki (104%) and TVM (66%) districts come under top spending districts in urban sector.

the urban sectors all except two districts - Kozhikkode and Wayanad - have shown an

Both rural and urban sectors of Idukki and Thiruvananthapuram dts have occupied top two positions.

Extent of Bap between rural and

Extent of urban average MPCE over rural average MPCE of 14 district of Kerala

during 2001-10 (%)

Districts	200 1-02	200 5-06	2007 -08	200 9-10	% Increase (↑) / decrease (↓) in consumption
TVM	106	36	44	118	12 ↑
Kollam	16	15	14	15	1 ↓
P.thitta	6	39	30	36	30 ↑
Alappuzha	16	34	11	7	9 ↓
Kottayam	19	28	41	36	17 ↑
ldukki	22	12	29	42	20 ↑
Ernakula m	59	61	26	43	16 ↓
Thrisur	16	30	40	28	12 ↑
Palakkad	25	18	30	52	27 ↑
M.puram	21	9	26	3	18 ↓
K.kkode	49	3	20	10	39 ↓
Wayanad	45	24	34	7	38 ↓
Kannur	23	19	16	20	3 ↓
K.god	66	16	55	20	46 ↓
All	47	47	40	42	5 ↓

Per capita expenditure of the urban population over rural was calculated in order to trace out the extent of deviation between urban and rural sectors of 14 district of Kerala during 2001-10

Accordingly the gap between urban and rural sectors has widened in six districts (indicated ↑ in Table) while in eight districts the gap has narrowed down (↓).

This observation also supports the point that in most of the district the gap between rural and urban sectors is narrowing down, in other words the rural sectors spends more which may be due to the faster rate of urbanization taking place in the last decade in the state of Kerala.

Conclusions

The state of Kerala has been ranked as number one in consumption, the analysis of state consumer expenditure data from NSSO has indicated that it is the rural sector of the state which plays a significant role in pulling up the state's consumer expenditure.

The gap between rural and urban sector expenditure is also found to be decreasing in the last decade and the faster rate of urbanization occurring in the state of Kerala can be attributed as one of the reasons for the changing consumption pattern of its rural sector.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to

Advanced Centre of Environmental Studies and Sustainable Development-

An inter university centre (ACESSD),

Mahatma Gandhi University for all supports extended to this work

References

- 1. National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO), Government of Kerala.
- 2. P. Padma, E.V Ramasamy, Muralivallabhan T Vand A.P Thomas, 'Household consumption expenditure pattern in rural urban sectors of Kerala', National Seminar on Green Technologies for Sustainable Environmental Management, School of Environment & Natural Resources, Doon university, Kedarpur, Dehradun-248001, 27 – 28 March 2013.

JELANK YOU